

Computer Aided Carbon Footprint Estimation in Educational Institutions

Avinash krishnan

Computer Science and Engineering
Amal Jyothi College of Engineering
avinashkrishnan2026@cs.ajce.in

Belda Ben Thomas

Computer Science and Engineering
Amal Jyothi College of Engineering
beldabenthomas2026@cs.ajce.in

Fr Siju John

Assistant Professor
Computer Science and Engineering
Amal Jyothi College Of Engineering
sijupullempalayil@amaljyothi.ac.in

Bava Kurian Varghese

Computer Science and Engineering
Amal Jyothi College of Engineering
bavakurianvarghese2026@cs.ajce.in

Ajumon C Thampi

Computer Science and Engineering
Amal Jyothi College Of Engineering
ajumoncthampi2026@cs.ajce.in

Abstract— This project presents the development of a Web application designed to calculate the carbon footprint of a college campus. The program provides a systematic approach to quantify greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by processing data from multiple sources such as energy consumption, transportation, waste management, water usage, and food services. The main objective here is by integrating data analysis and automation, this tool supports actionable insights, paving the way for sustainable campus management and contributing to broader carbon neutrality goals. The data inputs are electricity usage (kWh), fuel consumption (liters), waste generation (kilograms), commuting distances (kilometers). The program categorizes emissions into three scopes based on the Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 1 (direct emissions), Scope 2 (purchased electricity), and Scope 3 (indirect emissions such as commuting and procurement). The application also generates visualizations such as graphs and charts to aid in data interpretation and decision-making. Through this, the program exhibits calculations, ensuring accuracy and scalability.

Keywords— carbon footprint, greenhouse gases, emissions, emission factors

I. INTRODUCTION

The **calculation of a carbon footprint** refers to the process of quantifying the total amount of greenhouse gases (GHGs), particularly carbon dioxide (CO₂), emitted directly or indirectly as a result of human activities. This process is essential for understanding how individual actions,

businesses, and industries contribute to climate change. By calculating a carbon footprint, we gain insight into the environmental impact of specific activities, products, or services, enabling us to identify areas for improvement and reduce emissions effectively.

Carbon footprint calculations are typically expressed in **carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e)**, which allows for the comparison of different gases based on their global warming potential (GWP). For example, methane (CH₄) has a much higher GWP than CO₂, so its impact is measured accordingly in terms of CO₂e.

The carbon footprint is typically broken down into three categories or **scopes** to account for the different sources of emissions: **Scope 1 (Direct Emissions)**: Emissions directly from owned or controlled sources, like fuel combustion in vehicles and machinery. **Scope 2 (Indirect Emissions - Energy)**: Emissions from the generation of purchased electricity, steam, heating, or cooling consumed by an entity. **Scope 3 (Other Indirect Emissions)**: Emissions from the entire value chain, including production, transportation, waste disposal, and employee travel.

Carbon footprint calculation is crucial for the environment as it helps identify the sources of greenhouse gas emissions, track their impact on climate change, and highlight areas for improvement. By quantifying emissions, it enables individuals, businesses, and governments to take targeted actions to reduce emissions, mitigate global warming, and promote sustainability, ultimately protecting ecosystems and preserving natural resources.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Most current carbon footprint tracking systems rely on user input or automated data sources to estimate and track carbon emissions. These systems often provide general carbon

footprints for activities, products, or services but come with several limitations:

A. Manual Input and Estimation

- **Time-consuming and tedious:** Users are often required to manually input data related to their daily activities, such as transportation, energy usage, and product purchases, which can be time-consuming and complex.
- **Prone to errors:** Estimating carbon emissions based on user input can lead to inaccuracies, especially if users are unsure about the specifics of their energy consumption or travel behavior.

B. Reliance on Generalized Databases

- **Inaccurate or outdated data:** Many carbon footprint calculators depend on databases that may not always reflect the most current data or regional variations, leading to imprecise results.
- **Lack of granularity:** Databases often provide generalized estimates that may not account for factors like the specific energy mix of a region or the exact environmental impact of a specific product.

C. Wearable and IoT Devices

- **Limited tracking capabilities:** While some wearables and smart devices track emissions (e.g., transportation, energy usage), their precision is often limited. They may not account for indirect emissions or certain activities like food consumption, which contribute significantly to an individual's total carbon footprint.
- **Energy expenditure focus:** Wearables often focus on tracking energy expenditure, but they don't necessarily provide comprehensive emissions data across all aspects of a user's life, such as product sourcing or waste disposal.

D. Lack of Personalization

- **Generic recommendations:** Most systems offer one-size-fits-all recommendations without considering individual or organizational circumstances, such as geographic location, specific consumption habits, or lifestyle choices.
- **Limited feedback:** Users often receive basic information on their carbon footprint but lack actionable insights or tailored suggestions to reduce emissions effectively, making it harder to adopt sustainable habits.

Given below is a comparative analysis of the 3 reviewed research papers based on the author, Objectives, Scopes, Methodology, Key findings, recommendations, key observations and conclusion in tabular form.

Research Papers	Criteria			
	Scope	Methodology	Key Findings	Primary Contributions
Paper 1 (Strategies for Carbon)	Global review of carbon reduction	Review-based analysis	Smart technologies and energy	Provides an overview of best practices

Reduction in Universities)	strategies in university campuses		efficiency strategies are crucial for decarbonization	for reducing CF in university campuses
Paper 2: (CF at University of Oulu)	Case study of CF calculation at University of Oulu	Hybrid model (LCA + EIOA)	District heating was the largest source of emissions; lack of standardization in data collection	Real-world case study illustrating challenges in CF assessment
Paper 3: (CF in Higher Education Institutions - Review)	Systematic review of CF assessment in HEIs	Literature review and comparative analysis	High variation in CF methodologies; lack of standardization in scope 3 emissions	Identifies gaps and suggests improvements in HEI CF methodologies
Paper 4: (CF Calculators Review)	Evaluation of online CF calculators	Feature-based evaluation of CF calculators	CF calculators vary in accuracy and usability; need for standardization	Evaluates strengths and weaknesses of CF calculators
Paper 5: (CO2UNV CF Tool for Universities)	Development of a CF assessment tool for universities	Development and testing of CO2UNV tool	CO2UNV tool effectively tracks and offsets emissions in universities	Presents a university-specific CF assessment tool
Paper 6: (CF in Semi-Urban Areas)	CF analysis in a semi-urban area of India	Empirical study using energy and fuel consumption data	Diesel and firewood are the major contributors to CF in semi-urban areas	Highlights the environmental impact of developing regions
Paper 7: (CF Report 2018-19)	Institutional carbon footprint report	Data collection and computation of institutional CF	Highlights emission trends and reduction strategies in institutions	Reports institutional CF trends and sustainability strategies

Table 1.1: Comparative Analysis of 7 Detailed research papers on the subject

III. Proposed system

The **Carbon Footprint Calculation System** is a web-based platform designed to help individuals, businesses, and organizations calculate, track, and reduce their carbon footprint. The system uses a comprehensive and user-friendly interface to gather data about energy consumption, transportation, waste generation, and other activities that contribute to environmental impact. By offering detailed analytics and actionable insights, the platform empowers users to make informed decisions about how to minimize their carbon emissions and adopt sustainable practices.

A. Multi-User Access

- 1) **Registered and Non-Registered Users:**

Registered users can create personalized profiles to track their carbon footprint over time, access historical data, and receive tailored recommendations.

Non-registered users can use basic features such as a one-time carbon footprint calculator for quick results.

2) **Admin (System Manager):**

Admins can manage user accounts, review calculated data, monitor trends, and implement changes to the system for continuous improvement

B. Carbon Footprint Calculation Engine

1) **Real-Time Data Input and Calculation:**

Users can input data such as energy consumption (electricity, heating, etc.), travel habits (car usage, flights, etc.), waste generation, and water consumption.

The system calculates the carbon footprint based on global emission factors, providing users with an instant estimate of their environmental impact.

2) **Real-Time Data Tracking:**

The system continuously tracks user data, providing updates on carbon footprint changes over time.

The carbon footprint calculation updates automatically as users enter new data or make changes to their lifestyle.

C. Carbon Offset Integration

1) **Offset Projects and Partners:**

The system offers users the option to offset their carbon emissions by investing in certified carbon offset projects.

The platform provides details on available projects, including renewable energy initiatives, reforestation efforts, and methane capture programs.

2) **Carbon Offset Tracking:**

Users can track their offset contributions and view how their investment has helped reduce carbon emissions globally.

D. Sustainability Awareness and Education

1) **Educational Resources:**

The platform integrates educational content about climate change, carbon footprints, and sustainable living practices.

Users have access to articles, videos, and webinars from experts to better understand how to minimize their environmental impact.

2) **Community Engagement:**

Users can join sustainability challenges and collaborate with others to track collective carbon reduction efforts.

The system encourages a community approach to lowering carbon footprints, including rewards and recognition for those making significant progress.

E. Location-Based Environmental Data

1) **Local Emission Factors:**

The platform integrates regional data, providing users with specific emission factors for energy use, transportation, and waste management based on their geographic location.

2) **Location-Based Recommendations:**

By using geolocation data, the system provides users with localized tips and resources (such as nearby recycling centers, public transport options, or sustainable businesses) to help reduce their carbon footprint.

F. Reporting and Goal Setting

1) **Customizable Reports:**

Users can generate customized reports based on their calculated carbon footprint, tracking progress and improvements over time.

2) **Carbon Footprint Reduction Goals:**

The system enables users to set carbon reduction goals, with ongoing progress tracking and automated suggestions to help them achieve their objectives.

Users can compare their carbon footprint against global averages, industry benchmarks, and set targets for reducing their environmental impact.

III. CONCLUSION

The development of the CarbonScope web application represents a significant step toward enabling institutions to monitor and manage their carbon footprint effectively. By integrating real-time data analysis, and automated tracking of emissions across multiple categories, this system offers a robust and scalable solution for promoting sustainability. The ability to categorize emissions into Scopes 1, 2, and 3 ensures that all sources of greenhouse gas emissions are accounted for, facilitating more precise carbon reduction strategies. Furthermore, the inclusion of carbon offset integration, and educational resources enhances user engagement and fosters a

culture of environmental responsibility. By leveraging technology to provide actionable insights, this project aligns with global efforts to mitigate climate change and move toward carbon neutrality. Future work may focus on expanding data sources, incorporating machine learning for more predictive analytics, and integrating blockchain for enhanced transparency in carbon offset tracking.

Ultimately, CarbonScope serves as a valuable tool for institutions seeking to quantify, analyze, and reduce their carbon footprint, demonstrating how digital solutions can contribute meaningfully to environmental sustainability.

REFERENCES

- [1]. **Pooja Goel (GGSIPU)**. *Carbon Footprint Report 2018-19*, 2019.
- [2] R. Aghamolaei and M. Fallahpour, “Strategies towards reducing carbon emission in university campuses: A comprehensive review of both global and local scales,” *Journal of Building Engineering*, vol. 76, p. 107183, 2023. DOI: [10.1016/j.jobe.2023.107183](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2023.107183).
- [3] J. Kiehle, M. Kopsakangas-Savolainen, M. Hilli, and E. Pongrácz, “Carbon footprint at institutions of higher education: The case of the University of Oulu,” *Journal of Environmental Management*, vol. 329, p. 117056, 2023. DOI: [10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.117056](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.117056).
- [4] K. Valls-Val and M. D. Bovea, “Carbon footprint in Higher Education Institutions: A literature review and prospects for future research,” *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, vol. 23, pp. 2523–2542, 2021. DOI: [10.1007/s10098-021-02180-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-021-02180-2).
- [5] J. Mulrow, K. Machaj, J. Deanes, and S. Derrible, “The state of carbon footprint calculators: An evaluation of calculator design and user interaction features,” *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, vol. 18, pp. 33–40, 2019. DOI: [10.1016/j.spc.2018.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2018.12.001).
- [6] K. Valls-Val and M. D. Bovea, “Carbon footprint assessment tool for universities: CO2UNV,” *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, vol. 29, pp. 791–804, 2022. DOI: [10.1016/j.spc.2021.11.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2021.11.020).
- [7] K. Malik and P. Srivastava, “Calculation of carbon footprints in semi-urban areas of Jammu, J&K (India),” *Environment Conservation Journal*, vol. XX, pp. XX–XX, 2019. DOI: [10.36953/ECJ.2019.20305](https://doi.org/10.36953/ECJ.2019.20305).